

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

In-plane gap anisotropy in a van Hove superconductor

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 6173

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/3/32/023)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.147 The article was downloaded on 11/05/2010 at 12:26

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 (1991) 6173-6176. Printed in the UK

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

In-plane gap anisotropy in a van Hove superconductor

R S Markiewicz

Physics Department and Barnett Institute, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115 USA

Received 30 May 1991

Abstract. The van Hove singularity (VHS) model provides a unifying picture for understanding many anomalous features of high-temperature superconductors. Here, it is shown that there is an intrinsic in-plane anisotropy associated with the VHS which can explain recent observations on $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$.

It has been proposed that superconductivity in the new high- T_c cuprates is associated with the saddle-point van-Hove singularity (VHS) of the CuO₂ planes, at which the density-of-states (DOS) diverges logarithmically [1–7]. The VHS should also induce a number of anomalies in the normal state properties of these materials, including a normal-state resistivity which increases linearly with temperature T or frequency ω [8]. The holes within the CuO₂-plane band can be divided into two groups [5]: a high density of carriers near the Brillouin zone boundaries, which are responsible for the large DOS at the VHS (heavy, or VHS, holes) and a low density of carriers associated with parts of the Fermi surface away from the Brillouin zone boundaries (light holes). The heavy holes are nearly localized, due to strong electron-electron and electronphonon scattering, while the light holes dominate the transport properties (resistivity, Hall effect) in these materials. The heavy holes produce the 'phenomenological polarizability' which is responsible for the marginal Fermi liquid behaviour of the normal state [9, 10].

Recently, a photoemission experiment in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2O_8$ (Bi-2212) has revealed a striking anisotropy of the superconducting gap, within the *a*, *b*-plane [11]. The gap in the X direction of the Brillouin zone (away from the VHS) was close to the weak-coupling value, $2\Delta/k_BT_c \simeq 3.53$, whereas the gap along the \overline{M} direction (at the VHS) was nearly twice as large, $2\Delta/k_BT_c \simeq 8$. In the \overline{M} direction, there is a complication, since an electron pocket due to the Bi may overlap the Fermi level. In this paper, I will assume that this band is irrelevant, and ignore any possible complications associated with it [12].

This gap anisotropy finds a ready interpretation in terms of the VHS model, while providing additional evidence for the separation of the holes into two groups. It has long been known that gap anisotropy is directly associated with anisotropy of the effective electron-electron interaction parameter, V, and only indirectly with anisotropy of the DOS [13]. The phonon-mediated part of V may be written [14]

$$V_q = \frac{V_{q0}}{1 + V_{q0}\chi_{q0}/P_{e3}} \tag{1}$$

where V_{a0} is the unscreened interaction,

$$\chi_{q0} = -\sum_{k} \frac{f(E_k) - f(E_{k+q})}{E_k - E_{k+q}}$$
(2)

and P_{e3} is a constant estimated [14] to be 0.45 in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, and assumed here to be similar in Bi-2212. When the Fermi level is at the VHs, the susceptibility χ_{q0} diverges, both at q = 0 and at $q = Q \equiv (\pi/a, \pi/a)$, the reciprocal lattice vector which connects the two VHS. This divergence of χ leads, via (1), to a divergence of V_q at the same wave numbers. Whereas the divergence may be cut off by twodimensional fluctuations [14], there remains a strong anisotropy in V_q .

This leads to an anisotropic gap equation [13, 15]

$$\Delta_{k} = \int_{0}^{\omega_{c}} \mathrm{d}\epsilon_{k'} \mathrm{d}\Omega_{k'} \frac{V_{k-k'} \Delta_{k'} N_{k'}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{k'}^{2} + \Delta_{k'}}}$$
(3)

where $\Omega_{k'}$ is a unit of solid angle, and $N_{k'}$ is the angle-dependent DOS in the k' direction. Near the VHS, this DOS diverges as $N_{k'} \sim 1/k'$. Thus, if k is near a VHS, Δ_k is large due to two contributions to (3): (i) the term $V_0 N_k$, from the region near the same VHS, $k' \simeq k$, and (ii) the term $V_Q N_{k'}$, when k' is near the second VHS. This gap can be considerably larger than the gap in the usual isotropic VHS models [1-3, 6], since the DOS divergence, of the form $N(E) \simeq \ln(B/E)$ with B the electronic bandwidth, is here enhanced by the divergence in V_q . On the other hand, if k is not near a VHS, Δ_k is much smaller, since the V_q connecting it to a VHS is not divergent.

Rather than solve (3) directly, a simplified form can be introduced, similar to the two-band model of Suhl *et al* [16]. It is assumed that the Fermi surface can be split into the two carrier groups, heavy (h) and light (l) holes, and that V_q takes on only three values $V_{\rm hh}$, $V_{\rm ll}$, and $V_{\rm hl} = V_{\rm lh}$, depending on what regions k and k' fall in. Furthermore, the average DOS of the l-holes is a constant, $N_{\rm l}$, while the h-hole DOS is of the form $N_{\rm h} = N_0 \ln(B/\epsilon)$. Then there are two coupled gaps, $\Delta_{\rm h}$ and $\Delta_{\rm l}$, which satisfy [16]

$$\Delta_{l}(1 - \lambda_{l}F_{l}(\Delta_{l})) = \Delta_{h}V_{lh}N_{0}F_{h}(\Delta_{h})$$
(4a)

$$\Delta_{\rm h}(1-\lambda_0 F_{\rm h}(\Delta_{\rm h})) = \Delta_{\rm l} V_{\rm lh} N_{\rm l} F_{\rm l}(\Delta_{\rm l}) \tag{4b}$$

where $\lambda_{l} = N_{l}V_{ll}$, $\lambda_{0} = N_{0}V_{hh}$,

$$F_{\rm h}(\Delta) = \int_0^{\omega_{\rm c}} \frac{\ln(B/\epsilon) \mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}} \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}}{2k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{5}$$

and $F_1(\Delta)$ has the same form as (5), without the logarithmic term. As long as $V_{\rm lh} \neq 0$, both gaps appear simultaneously at temperature $T_{\rm c}$, given by the solution of

$$[\lambda_{l} - \delta \lambda^{2} F_{h}(0)][\lambda_{0} - \delta \lambda^{2} F_{l}(0)] = \lambda_{lh}^{2}$$
(6)

with $\lambda_{\rm lh}^2 = V_{\rm lh}^2 N_{\rm l} N_0$, and $\delta \lambda^2 = \lambda_{\rm l} \lambda_0 - \lambda_{\rm lh}^2$. When $V_{\rm lh}$ is small, this can be approximated as

$$k_{\rm B}T_{\rm c} = \frac{{\rm e}B}{2} \exp{-(\sqrt{y^2 - 1 + 2/\lambda_0^*})}$$
(7)

with $y = \ln(B/\hbar\omega_c)$,

$$\lambda_0^* = \delta \lambda^2 \left[\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_{\rm ljh}^2}{F_1^* \delta \lambda^2 - \lambda_0} \right]^{-1} \tag{8}$$

 $F_1^* = \ln(e\hbar\omega_c/2k_BT_B)$, and T_B is the solution of the decoupled heavy holes—i.e., the solution to (7) with $\lambda_0^* \to \lambda_0$. The resulting T_c depends only weakly on λ_1 , and (8) simplifies in the limit $\lambda_1 \to 0$: $\lambda_0^* \to \lambda_0 + F_1^* \lambda_{lh}^2$.

A concrete example is shown in figures 1 and 2. Here it is assumed that $\lambda_0 = 0.136$, B = 5800 K, and $\omega_c = 500$ K. If $\lambda_{\rm lh} = 0$, the two gaps are decoupled, and have separate transitions at $T_{\rm h} = 92$ K, $T_{\rm l} \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ K (for $\lambda_{\rm l} = 0.05$). Figure 1 shows how T_c increases for $\lambda_{\rm lh} \neq 0$, and $\lambda_{\rm l}$ varying from -0.1 to 0.1, both for the exact equation (6) and the approximate equation (7). Figure 2 shows the two gaps as a function of T for $\lambda_{\rm l} = 0.05$ and for several values of $\lambda_{\rm lh}$. Within the BCS-like expression (5), the VHS superconducting gap is close to the BCS value $2\Delta_{\rm h}(0)/k_{\rm B}T_{\rm h} = 3.53$, but strong coupling corrections and depairing due to real phonon scattering greatly enhance this ratio [17].



Figure 1. Superconducting transition temperature T_c versus light-hole-heavy-hole coupling parameter λ_{1h} for $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ (solid curve = exact solution, (6); dot-dashed curve = approximate solution, (7)) or $\lambda_1 = -0.1$ (dashed curve = exact solution; dotted curve = approximate solution).



Figure 2. Superconducting gaps versus temperature for $\lambda_1 = 0.05$ and $\lambda_{1h} = 0.01$ (dashed curves), 0.10 (solid curves), 0.15 (dotted curves), and 0.20 (dot-dashed curves).

Note that, since the uncoupled T_i is essentially zero, the existence of the light hole gap is entirely due to coupling with the nearly localized VHS holes. A gap

ratio $\Delta_h/\Delta_1 \simeq 2$, comparable to experiment [11], can be obtained with reasonable parameter values. Moreover, even though $T_l \simeq 0$, coupling to the light holes can significantly enhance T_h . This enhancement is independent of the sign of $V_{\rm lh}$, and depends only weakly on the sign and magnitude of $V_{\rm ll}$.

This work was supported by the Department of Energy under subcontract from Intermagnetics General Corporation. Publication 484 from the Barnett Institute.

References

- [1] Hirsch J E and Scalapino D J 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 2732
- [2] Labbe J and Bok J 1987 Europhys. Lett. 3 1225
- [3] Dzyaloshinskii I E 1987 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 93 1487 (Engl. transl. 1987 Sov. Phys.-JETP 66 848)
- [4] Friedel J 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 7757 Friedel J 1989 unpublished
- [5] Markiewicz R S 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 8911, 8931; 1990 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2 665
- [6] Tsuci C C, Newns D M, Chi C C and Pattnaik P C 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 2724 Newns D M, Pattnaik P C and Tsuci C C 1991 Phys. Rev. B 43 3075
- [7] Lu J P, Si Q, Kim J H and Levin K 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 2466 Si Q and Levin K 1991 Bull. APS 36 516 Si Q and Levin K 1991 unpublished Levin K, Kim J H, Lu J P and Si Q 1991 unpublished
- [8] Lee P A and Read N 1987 Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 2691 Markiewicz R S 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 603
- [9] Varma C M, Littlewood P B, Schmitt-Rink S, Abrahams E and Ruckenstein A E 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 1996
- [10] Markiewicz R S 1990 Physica C 168 195
- [11] Dessau D S, Wells B O, Shen Z-X, Spicer W E, Arko A J, List R S, Mitzi D B and Kapitulnik A 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 2160
- [12] Markiewicz R S 1991 Physica C to be published
- [13] Bennett A J 1965 Phys. Rev. 140A 1902
- [14] Markiewicz R S 1990 Physica C 169 63
- [15] Allen P B and Mitrović B 1982 Solid State Physics ed H Ehrenreich, F Seitz, and D Turnbull (New York: Academic) p 1
- [16] Suhl H, Matthias B T and Walker L R 1959 Phys. Rev. Lett. 3 552
- [17] Markiewicz R S unpublished